## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Jerome Long,	) C/A No. 6:11-0003-TMC
Petitioner,	)
V.	OPINION & ORDER
Leroy Cartledge, Warden of McCormick Correctional Institution,	) ) )
Respondent.	) ) _)

Petitioner, an inmate proceeding *pro* se, filed this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.<sup>1</sup> In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02, D.S.C., all pre-trial proceedings were referred to a Magistrate Judge. On January 19, 2011, Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald issued a Report and Recommendation ("Report") recommending the petition be dismissed without prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file an answer or return and that the District Court deny a Certificate of Appealability. (Dkt. # 11). The Magistrate Judge provided Petitioner a notice advising him of his right to file objections to the Report. (Dkt. # 11 at 6). Petitioner filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report on January 28, 2011. (Dkt. # 13).

The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Report

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner submitted his Petition on a Section 2241 form *for use by federal prisoners*, but it is clear that Petitioner is seeking relief available only under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 as set forth in the Report and Recommendation.

6:11-cv-00003-TMC Date Filed 12/09/11 Entry Number 21 Page 2 of 2

sets forth in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and the court

incorporates such without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge recommended the petition be dismissed because of

successive filings of § 2254 petitions by the Petitioner and that the Petitioner failed to

obtain leave (i.e., written permission) from the United States Court of Appeals for the

Fourth Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244 (b)(3). The Court has carefully reviewed

the Petitioner's objections, but finds them to be without merit.

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the

standard set forth above, the Court finds Petitioner's objections are without merit.

Accordingly, the court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. It is therefore

ORDERED that the above-captioned case is DISMISSED without prejudice and without

requiring Respondent to file an answer or return.

To the extent that Petitioner requests a certificate of appealability from this Court,

that certificate is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain United States District Judge

December 9, 2011

Greenville, South Carolina

2